

2026 Monmouth HSC Local Competition Renewal Project Scoring Tool

Agency Name: _____

Project Name: _____

Project Type: _____

Renewal Project: _____

DV Focused Project? _____

THRESHOLD REVIEW			Response
1. Is the project an eligible HUD component under the FY2026 CoC Competition?			
2. Does the project utilize racial preference, other illegal discrimination or uses a definition of sex other than as binary in humans?			
3. Does the project operate "safe consumption sites" under the pretext of "harm reduction"?			
OBJECTIVE CRITERIA - System Performance & Project Monitoring	Result	Possible Score	Score
<i>System Performance</i>			
1. Program operates at least 90% capacity		10	
2. 85% of households are receiving some form of income		5	
3. 20% of households are connected to earned income		10	
4. 85% of households are connected to mainstream benefits, including healthcare		5	
5. 20% of households will exit to unsubsidized permanent housing		5	
6. 85% of households will remain in or will exit to permanent housing		10	
7. 90% of households exiting permanent housing will not return to homelessness		10	
<i>General Program Implementation</i>			
1. Did the agency attend at least 4 of the last 6 CoC meetings?		3	
2. Did all client files contain all required documentation?		10	
<i>Program Prioritization, Referrals and Eligibility</i>			
1. All new clients that entered the program in 2025 came through HNP		5	
2. All clients are eligible based on homeless status		5	
3. For PSH, all clients are eligible based on having a disabling condition		5	
<i>Supportive Services</i>			
1. Are individualized services plans created with all clients?		5	
2. Did all client files contain evidence of supportive services?		5	
<i>Financial Review</i>			
1. Agency conducts at least quarterly drawdowns		5	
2. Based on the most recent APR, the project utilized at least 85% of HUD funding		5	
3. Based on the most recent APR, adequate match is being provided by the project		5	
4. Does the organization have a chart of accounts and an accounting manual which includes written procedures for the authorization and recording of transactions?		5	
5. Does the organization have documentation of adequate separation of duties for all financial transactions (that is, all financial transactions require the involvement of at least two individuals)?		5	
6. Does the organization have any outstanding debts?		5	
7. Are the program expenditures in line with the contracted number of households to be served?		9	
8. Did the organization have an audit completed within the last 12 months that was conducted consistent with the standards of OMB A-133?		5	
8a. If there were audit citations, have steps been taken to ensure a timely resolution of these findings/citations?		5	
<i>Program and Organization Oversight</i>			
1. The project submitted the most recent APR to HUD within the 90 days window		5	
2. Does the agency have a current and approved Code of Ethics on file with HUD?		3	
3. Does the agency have a signed copy of the most recent grant agreement?		5	
LOCAL APPLICATION		Possible Score	Score
<i>Target Population - All Projects</i>			
1. % of clients who belong to one or more vulnerable subpopulation (chronically homeless, substance use, mental health, age 55+, developmentally disabled, physical disability)		5	
<i>Service Provision - All projects</i>			
1. Are supportive services a requirement for program participation?		10	
2. Are there substance abuse treatment services available on-site for this project?		5	
3. Do the supportive services and assistance that will be offered ensure that the participant is able to successfully obtain and retain permanent housing?		5	
<i>Moving On Efforts - PSH RENEWALS ONLY</i>			
1. Applicant tries to move clients on from CoC vouchers. Maximum points if PSH has positive exits to other non-CoC permanent housing.		5	
<i>Domestic Violence Projects ONLY</i>			
1. Applicant clearly demonstrates how the project will improve the safety of victims of domestic violence entering the project.		5	
<i>Project Budget and Application Submission</i>			
1. Budget items correlate with program design, goals and performance		5	
2. Project's cost effectiveness - per household cost is less than average (up to 5 points), per household is comparable to average (up to 3 points), per household cost is more than average (1 point or less)		5	
3. The project has been ranked within Tier 1 for the CoC for the last 3 competitions.		3	
4. Timeliness, organization and detail of application and budget		2	

Renewal Project Scoring	Possible Score	Final Score	Final Percentage
Objective Criteria - System Performance & Project Monitoring	155	0	0%
Local Application	45	0	0%
Total	200	0	0%